

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/787,441	02/27/2004	Roberto Cavazzuti	05725.0795-02	1299
22852 7	590 07/28/2005		EXAM	INER
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER			VENKAT, JYOTHSNA A	
LLP 901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1615		
			DATE MAILED: 07/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H-						
70	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/787,441	CAVAZZUTI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D	1615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07.	<i>July</i> 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.	•				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>120-125</u> is/are pending in the application	ation					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>120-125</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea 	its have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Drity documents have been receiv	ion No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.				

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/7/05.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

6) Other: ____

Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/787,441

Art Unit: 1615

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of IDS filed on 7/7/05. Claims 120-125 are pending in the application and the status of the application is as follows:

Information Disclosure Statement

The references that were crossed-out have been considered, but it will not be listed for printing.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 120-125 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by copending Application No. 09/618,066 which has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), if published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the copending application.
- 2. See the paragraph bridging pages 2-3 for eyelashes, pages 4-5 for ingredient (i), see pages 10-13 for the polymer and see page 13, lines 5-15 for the species claimed as the polymer, see page 19, lines 3-18 for volatile which is isododecane at lines 12 and 18, see page 20 lines 10-14 for neutralizing agents, water, preservating agents, see pages 22-24 for coloring agents, see page 24 for packaging article. The disclosure of the co-pending application direct to those skilled in the art to the claimed compostions without any need for picking, choosing, and combining various disclosures are directly related to each other, by the teaching of the cited co-pending application.

Art Unit: 1615

This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. This rejection may not be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Bartfeld*, 925 F.2d 1450, 17 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

- Claims 120-125 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by copending Application No. 09/685,577 which has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the copending application, it would constitute prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e), if published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b) or patented. This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) is based upon a presumption of future publication or patenting of the copending application.
- 4. See the paragraph bridging pages 2-3 for eyelashes, pages 4-5 for ingredient (i), see pages 10-13 for the polymer and see page 13, lines 13-20 for the species claimed as the polymer, see page 19, lines 4-21 for volatile which is isododecane at lines 13 and 20, see pages 22-24 for coloring agent, see page 20, lines 3-15 for neutralizing agents, water, preservating agents, see page 24 for packaging article. The disclosure of the co-pending application direct to those skilled in the art to the claimed compostions without any need for picking, choosing, and combining various disclosures are directly related to each other, by the teaching of the cited co-pending application.

Art Unit: 1615

This provisional rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the copending application was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131. This rejection may not be overcome by the filing of a terminal disclaimer. See *In re Bartfeld*, 925 F.2d 1450, 17 USPQ2d 1885 (Fed. Cir. 1991).

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 7/7/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicants provide chart which explains the location of the claimed elements in both the applicants and argue that the ingredients which are recited in the composition are distributed randomly through out '066 and '577 applications as optional ingredients or as one of laundry list of possible compounds and as such extensive picking and choosing would be required to for one of ordinary skill in the art to have arrived at the presently claimed invention.

The arguments are with respect to the chart at pages 11-12. Applicant's attention is drawn to chart at pages 11-12 with respect to wax where applicants argue that the co-pending applications disclose the composition as being wax free.

In response to this the co-pending applications at page 3, lines 14-16 and page 4, lines 2-5 discuss the composition in the form of stick, like lipstick where in the composition is wax free.

Note that the description of wax starts at pages 4-5 and pages 5-6 of '066 and '577 respectively.

Applicants argue that isododecane is one of the oils that can be useful for the liquid phase and the list spans almost two pages.

Art Unit: 1615

In response to this, applicant's attention is drawn to page 20 of '066 and '577 where the specification clearly teaches that the structuring polymer of formula I can be combined with apolar oils and are chosen from pearlm oil, isododecane and squalene. Therfore recitation of isododecane among three oils is not a laundry list.

Applicants argue that water and preservative are optional in both the applications.

In response to this, the same ingredients claimed in the instant application are also optional. There is no example in the instant application, which is drawn exclusively to Mascara compositions and the same is true for the co-pending applications. In conclusion, the disclosure of the co-pending application direct to those skilled in the art to the claimed compostions without any need for picking, or choosing, and combining various disclosures are directly related to each other, by the teaching of the cited co-pending application.

7. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/787,441

Art Unit: 1615

Page 6

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JYOTHSNA A. VENKAT Ph. D whose telephone number is 571-272-0607. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 10:30-7:30:1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THURMAN K. PAGE can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JYOTHSNA A VENKAT Ph. D

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1615
